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## TODAY'S DISCUSSION POINTS

o Observations about trends in healthcare philanthropy
o Brief highlights of the AAMC 2012 survey observations
o Benchmarking
o A look at the "drill-downs" in the AAMC Web site
o Survey data reports that can be developed
o Your thoughts about survey refinements and improvements

## 2012 SURVEY HIGHLIGHTS

| Private Support | Average Fundraising Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Operations | Personnel Cost |
| Donor Sources | Cost Per Dollar Raised v. ROI |
| Scholarship Support | Extraordinary Gifts |
| Development Staff | Campaign Activity |

## BENCHMARKING: CHALLENGES

- Purpose of the benchmarking project
o What elements should be compared
o Context for the benchmarking group
- How much data should be compiled
o Audiences for the benchmarking information


## BENCHMARKING:

## SEVERAL EXAMPLES OF COMPARISON POINTS

o Total private support by donor type and gift purpose (current operations, endowment, facilities, etc.)
o Staffing size
o Budget expenditures and cost sharing of development expenditures
o Cost per dollar raised and ROI
o Compensation of development leadership

## BENCHMARKING: DATA SOURCES

o Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC)
o Council for Aid to Education (CAE)/ Voluntary Support of Education (VSE)— Council for Advancement and Support of Education (CASE)
o Association for Healthcare Philanthropy (AHP)

## BENCHMARKING: FORMING A COHORT

o Institution Size
o Budget
o Geography
o Age
o Institution Type

## SURVEY DATA IN THE AAMC WEBSITE

o Executive Summaries, 1999 through 2012
o Additional Data Analysis beginning with the 2007 survey
o Data comparison tools, survey data by institution, compensation summaries, and Excel download feature

## EXAMPLES OF THE "ADDITIONAL DATA ANALYSIS" INFORMATION

## TOTAL PRIVATE SUPPORT FOR MEDICAL SCHOOL TOP PERFORMERS*

|  | Total Private Support |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical Schools - Group 1: |  |  |
| Median | $\$ 137,405,552$ |  |
| Mean | $\$ 140,655,124$ |  |
| Medical Schools - Group 2: |  |  |
| Median | $\$ 32,441,896$ |  |
| Mean | $\$ 31,169,839$ |  |
| Medical Schools - Group 3: |  |  |
| Median |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |
| $\$ 26,599,207$ |  |  |
| All Medical Schools (N=57): |  |  |
| Median |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |

*The term "Top Performers" refers to the 5 institutions with the highest Total Private Support by Institution Type and Operating Budget (ranges for Operating Budget below):

Medical School - Group 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ): $\$ 493,066,686-\$ 2,956,915,000$
Medical School - Group 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ): \$169,923,735-\$474,040,000
Medical School - Group 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ): $\$ 10,039,833$ - \$158,211,143
Source: AAMC 2012 Annual Development Survey

## PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF PRIVATE SUPPORT BY GIFT DESIGNATION FOR MEDICAL SCHOOL TO <br> PERFORMERS*


*The term "Top Performers" refers to the 5 institutions with the highest Total Private Support by Institution Type and Operating Budget (ranges for Operating Budget below):

> Medical School - Group $1(n=19): \$ 493,066,686-\$ 2,956,915,000$
> Medical School - Group $2(n=19): \$ 169,923,735-\$ 474,040,000$
> Medical School - Group $3(n=19): \$ 10,039,833-\$ 158,211,143$

Source: AAMC 2012 Annual Development Survey

## PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF PRIVATE SUPPORT BY DONOR SOURCE FOR MEDICAL SCHOOL TOP PERFORMERS*


*The term "Top Performers" refers to the 5 institutions with the highest Total Private Support by Institution Type and Operating Budget (ranges for Operating Budget below):

> Medical School - Group $1(n=19): \$ 493,066,686-\$ 2,956,915,000$
> Medical School - Group $2(n=19): \$ 169,923,735-\$ 474,040,000$
> Medical School - Group $3(n=19): \$ 10,039,833-\$ 158,211,143$

Source: AAMC 2012 Annual Development Survey

## STAFFING DISTRIBUTION FOR MEDICAL SCHOOL TOP PERFORMERS*


*The term "Top Performers" refers to the 5 institutions with the highest Total Private Support by Institution Type and Operating Budget (ranges for Operating Budget below):

Medical School - Group 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ): $\$ 493,066,686-\$ 2,956,915,000$
Medical School - Group 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ): \$169,923,735-\$474,040,000
Medical School - Group 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ): \$10,039,833 - \$158,211,143
Source: AAMC 2012 Annual Development Survey

## COST OF FUNDRAISING FOR MEDICAL SCHOOL TOP PERFORMERS*


*The term "Top Performers" refers to the 5 institutions with the highest Total Private Support by Institution Type and Operating Budget (ranges for Operating Budget below):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Medical School - Group } 1(n=19): \$ 493,066,686-\$ 2,956,915,000 \\
& \text { Medical School - Group } 2(n=19): \$ 169,923,735-\$ 474,040,000 \\
& \text { Medical School - Group } 3(n=19): \$ 10,039,833-\$ 158,211,143
\end{aligned}
$$

Source: AAMC 2012 Annual Development Survey

